

Executive Officer's Report

To:

Imperial LAFCo Commissioners

From:

Tyler Salcido, Executive Officer

TRS

Item #:

8c

Subject:

Establishment of a Reserve Policy

Date:

May 22, 2025

Time:

08:30 a.m.

Location:

El Centro City Council Chambers

1275 W. Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243

Report

Purpose

To request Commission direction on the development of a written Reserve Policy that formally establishes (1) Assigned Emergency Reserves and (2) a target level for Unassigned Reserves. This report provides background, rationale, and potential policy scenarios for consideration.

Background

Imperial LAFCo currently maintains two dedicated reserve funds, collectively termed "Assigned Emergency Reserves," which are allocated as follows:

Litigation Reserve: \$40,000

• Catastrophic Building Damage Reserve: \$460,000

These reserves have been established for specific, limited-use purposes but are not yet codified in a written Reserve Policy.

Separately, **Unassigned Reserves**, which are not legally or contractually committed to specific uses, are projected at \$72,194 for Fiscal Year 2025–2026. Total proposed expenditures for the same period are \$906,442.

Unlike the assigned reserves, there is no currently adopted policy that defines an appropriate minimum balance for unassigned reserves. Establishing a policy will improve financial transparency, strengthen fiscal management, and better prepare the agency for economic volatility or unanticipated needs.

Proposed Policy Framework

- 1. Formalize the existing Assigned Emergency Reserves as part of the Reserve Policy.
- 2. **Establish a minimum threshold for Unassigned Reserves.** Options include a percentage of annual operating expenses or a flat dollar amount.

Policy Options for Unassigned Reserves

Option A: Percentage of Annual Expenses

This method scales with the size of LAFCo's operating budget and allows for automatic adjustment as the budget changes year to year.

| Scenario | % of Annual Expenses | Target Amount (based on \$886,419) |
|----------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| A-1 | 5% | \$44,321 |
| A-2 | 8% | \$70,914 |
| A-3 | 10% | \$88,642 |

Pros: Flexible and adjusts to growth; aligns with public finance best practices.

Cons: May be difficult to fund in years with lean budgets.

Option B: Flat Dollar Target

This method establishes a fixed reserve floor regardless of year-to-year budget changes.

| Scenario | Flat Reserve Amount |
|----------|---------------------|
| B-1 | \$50,000 |
| B-2 | \$75,000 |
| B-3 | \$100,000 |

Pros: Easy to understand and communicate; can be tied to a baseline operating risk tolerance.

Cons: May become outdated or insufficient as the budget grows over time.

Recommendation by the Executive Officer

The Executive Officer recommends that the Commission provide direction on the preferred method for setting the minimum unassigned reserve level. The Executive Officer also recommends:

- Codifying the existing Assigned Emergency Reserves (\$500,000 total) into a written policy, with limited-use restrictions.
- Incorporating review of reserve levels into the annual budget adoption process.

Next Steps

Based on the Commission's preferred approach, staff will return with a draft written Reserve Policy for formal adoption at a future meeting.