# EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT

## Local Agency Formation Commission

### TO:

Commissioner

MARIA NAVA-FROELICH

JASON JACKSON

**DAVID WEST** (Vice Chair)

[City]

Commissioner Commissioner

MICHAEL KELLEY (Chair)

[Supervisor] [Supervisor]

**RAY CASTILLO** 

Commissioner Commissioner

[City] [Public]

**JACK TERRAZAS** 

**VACANT** 

[Supervisor]

[City]

Alt Commissioner Alt Commissioner

Alt Commissioner

**RALPH MENVIELLE** 

[Public]

**REPORT DATE:** 

March 1, 2016

FROM:

Jurg Heuberger, AICP, CEP, Executive Officer

PROJECT:

PROPOSED 2016/2017 (DRAFT) BUDGET FOR IMPERIAL LAFCO

**HEARING DATE:** 

March 24, 2016

**TIME**: 8:30 AM

AGENDA ITEM NO:

10

**HEARING LOCATION:** 

El Centro City Council Chambers, 1275 Main Street, El Centro, CA

## **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

**BY THE** 

## **EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

(In summary & order)

**OPTION #1:** 

Approve the "DRAFT" proposed FISCAL 2016/2017 Budget as required by

CKH.

**OPTION #2:** 

Approve the "DRAFT" proposed FISCAL 2016/2017 Budget as amended and as

required by the CKH.

## REPORT:

#### THE ACT: (Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg)

#### Gov. Code Section 56381:

(a) The Commission shall adopt annually, following noticed public hearings, a proposed budget by May 1 and a final budget by June 15. At a minimum, the proposed and final budget shall be equal to the budget adopted for the previous fiscal year unless the commission finds that reduced staffing or program costs will nevertheless allow the commission to fulfill the purposes and programs of this chapter. The commission shall transmit proposed and final budget to the Board of Supervisors, to each City and to each independent special district.

## **ANALYSIS**

#### General:

Attached hereto **EXHIBIT A**, the Commission will find a Draft Proposed Budget for fiscal 2016/2017 prepared by staff pursuant to the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg-Reorganization Act, also known as Government Code 5600 et seq.

As noted above, the Commission must adopt a <u>draft budget by May 1, 2016</u> and a <u>final by June 15, 2016</u> and the Commission shall adopt at a minimum budget equal to the previous year's budget unless the Commission can make specific findings. This proposed budget is being presented in March and will be presented as final in May in order to meet the statutory deadlines without having to hold a special meeting in June.

In preparation of this budget, staff reviewed the current budget, the current expenditures and the anticipated or projected cost that LAFCO would incur during the next fiscal year.

The following **Exhibit A** represents the budget as proposed in the amount of \$618,423.00. This represents some uncontrollable increases as well as minor adjustments in various line items.

#### **POLICY ISSUES:**

**Contingency:** The policy established by the Commission is to try and maintain about \$100,000.00 in reserves for a variety of emergency and other considerations. Two years ago we depleted most of this to pay off the building. At this time staff is recommending that we fund this account to \$34,762.00 which is to use existing contingency and add what we expect to generate in savings and additional fees from this year.

#### **GENERAL LINE ITEMS:**

**82-2001 LAFCO SALARIES:** The increase in this category, approximately \$26,979.00, represent the 5% merit increase for two employees, an additional 12 month term plus 5 % merit increase for limited term employee and an increase in hours for maintenance employee. Attached hereto is the analysis.

**82-2035 LAFCO EMPLOYEE BENEFITS:** The increase here reflects the uncontrollable mandated increases generally tied to the salaries plus other increased costs for benefits, including retirement, health insurance, workers compensation, etc.

82-2060	COMMUNICATIONS: No change.
82-2100	INSURANCE PROPERTY: No change.
82-2101	INSURANCE LIABILITY: No change.
82-2120	<b>MAINTENANCE OF EQUIPMENT:</b> The increase here, \$1,500.00 is due to the expiration of warranty/maintenance agreements for the plotters which now need to have a new contract.
82-2150	<b>MEMBERSHIPS:</b> The increase here, \$179.00 is the CALAFCO Dues increase approved by the CALAFCO Board of Directors for fiscal 2016/2017.
82-2170	<b>OFFICE EXPENSE (SUPPLIES):</b> The increase here, \$3,000.00 is primarily for the cost of direct mail notices for the WFD dissolution process.
82-2170	OFFICE EXPENSE (ELECTRONICS): No change.
82-2170	OFFICE EXPENSE (SOFTWARE): No change.
82-2170	OFFICE EXPENSE (FURNISHING): No change.
82-2180	<b>PROF. &amp; SPEC. SERVICE (LEGAL):</b> An increase of \$1,000.00 as per your prior approval of the contract for legal services.
82-2180	<b>PROF. &amp; SPEC. SERVICE (OTHER):</b> The increase here, \$2,000.00 is due to increased costs for the paychecks service, the annual audit and an estimate to address a health care program.
82-2181	<b>PROF &amp; SPEC. SERVICES (DATA PROCESSING):</b> The increase here, \$1,000.00 is an anticipated cost increase for services based on current costs.
82-2182	PROF. & SPEC. SERVICES (GIS): No change.
82-2190	PUBLIC & LEGAL NOTICES: A slight reduction.
82-2000	RENTS AND LEASES EQUIPMENT: No change.
82-2230	SPECIAL DEPARTMENT EXPENSE: No change.
82-2251	TRAVEL IN COUNTY: No change.
82-2252	<b>TRAVEL OUT OF COUNTY:</b> The increase here, \$9,898.00 is based on prior year travel by Commissioners to Annual Conferences and the expected attendance at this year's conference including most if not all of the Commissioners.
82-4300	<b>CAPITAL OUTLAY:</b> The increase here is \$10,000.00 as we need to replace the aging large format plotter/printer.
1122	<b>LOAN PAYMENTS:</b> We no longer have any loans therefore there is no cost associated with this.
1122	R & M ON GOING EXPENSES: No change.
1122	R & M REMODELING EXPENSES: A reduction here of \$51,000.00 as we are only

anticipating incurring cost to repair roof.

1122

UTILITIES:

The slight decrease \$ 158.00 is primarily due to decreased utility costs.

**Special Note:** During the last budget year we advised that no funding was included for costs associated with the NFD dissolution process. As you can see from the actual expenses, the NFD was a very expensive process. As we begin the same process for Winterhaven Fire District, and given the report also on your current agenda, it is quite likely that this dissolution review could be equally or higher in cost.

## **EXECUTIVE OFFICERS RECOMMENDATION**

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Executive Officer respectfully requests that the Commission consider approving the **Draft Budget** as proposed. This will meet the intent of the CHK and allow us to submit the Draft to the agencies as required prior to the adoption of the final Budget currently planned for the May meeting.

As always, staff will be happy to answer questions. It also needs to be noted that this is the "Draft" budget as required by the CKH Act and the final budget will be considered by your Commission in May in order to meet the June 15<sup>th</sup> deadline.